

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/761,721	MAEDA, MITSURU	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ronald Baum	2136	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Ronald Baum.

(3) _____.

 (2) Brian Klock.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 May 2006
Time: 16:20
Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1,2

Prior art documents discussed:


n/a

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed with applicant's representative how the 'security data' phrase of claim 1 is still too broad, and the narrowing of the scope of that limitation by combining claim 2 into claim 1 would make the claim more patently distinct. Mr. Klock agreed and forwarded the suggested claim language for review and integration into the claims for allowance..